

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LASER DESIGN INTERNATIONAL, LLC;  
NORWOOD OPERATING COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BJ CRYSTAL, INC, a California corporation;  
CASHMAN PHOTO ENTERPRISES OF  
NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; CRYSTAL  
MAGIC, INC., a Florida Corporation; U.C.  
LASER, INC., a New Jersey corporation;  
VITRO LASER GROUP U.S.A., INC., a  
Nevada corporation; JIMAC MARKETING  
INC., a Canadian corporation; CONCORD  
INDUSTRIES, INC., a Connecticut  
corporation; C. STIEFELMAYER GMBH &  
Co. KG, a German limited partnership;  
CERION GMBH, a German limited liability  
company; CRYSTAL CAPTURE INC., a  
Texas corporation; CRYSTAL CAPTURE  
INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Nevada limited  
liability company; G.W. PARTNERS  
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California  
corporation; HIRSCH GIFT INC., a Texas  
corporation; VISIONS IN CRYSTAL, INC., a  
California corporation; VITRO LASER  
GMBH, a German limited liability company,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

Lead Case No. C 03-1179 JSW  
Consolidated with No. C 03-3905 JSW

**ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE SECOND  
AMENDED CONSOLIDATED  
COMPLAINT**

Now before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion For Leave to File Second Amended  
Consolidated Complaint for Patent Infringement. Defendants have filed a statement of non-

1 opposition to the Plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend. Accordingly, in light of the lack of  
2 opposition by the parties to these motions, and in light of the liberal standards set forth in  
3 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file its  
4 Second Amended Consolidated Complaint for Patent Infringement. The hearing date noticed  
5 for October 7, 2005 is HEREBY VACATED.

6 Plaintiffs shall file their Second Amended Consolidated Complaint for Patent  
7 Infringement within twenty (10) days of the date of this Order. Defendants shall file a  
8 responsive pleading or appropriate motion within twenty (20) days after service of the Second  
9 Amended Consolidated Complaint for Patent Infringement.

10 The Court FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's pending motion for administrative relief  
11 to file documents under seal is DENIED as MOOT.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 Dated: September 21, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE